1	Q.	RE: p	. B-13 Upgrade Controls on Spherical Valve # 5 – Bay d'Espoir
2		(\$153	3,000)
3			
4		5.1	When was this equipment determined to be obsolete? By whom was
5			this determination made? What was the rationale for the decision?
6			
7		5.2	Has the maintenance record of this equipment indicated that it has a
8			high risk of failure? Is there other information that would cause the
9			decision to replace this equipment at this time? Why has it been
10			determined that valves 1, 2 and 3, which are older, can continue to be
11			used?
12			
13		5.3	How has the company determined that the new Program Logic
14			Controller is the appropriate replacement for the current equipment?
15			
16			
17	A.	5.1	Operations and Generation Engineering personnel made this
18			determination in the 1998 – 1999 period. The rationale for this
19			decision was that it had become impossible to procure or manufacture
20			spare parts for many components.
21			
22		5.2	The maintenance records indicate that there have been frequent
23			failures in recent years. There is no other information that leads to the
24			decision to replace this equipment. Valves 1 through 4 were built by
25			one manufacturer while valves 5 and 6 were built by another. Valves
26			1 through 4 are older and the equipment on valve 4 is being replaced
27			in 2001. The equipment being made redundant from valve 4 will be
28			used as spare parts for valves 1, 2 and 3 until that equipment can be

		2001 General Nate Application
1		Page 2 of 2 replaced. The schedule for replacement was based on the condition
2		of the equipment on each valve.
3		
4	5.3	An in-house engineering review identified three spherical valve control
5		options available, namely: 1) hydraulic or mechanical controls 2) PLC
6		(Programmable Logic Control) based controls, and 3) hybrid solution
7		consisting of mechanical and PLC systems. These three options were
8		presented and discussed with the plant operating/maintenance staff.
9		Based on the discussions of the pros and cons of the options available
10		and the plant personnel's experience with PLC systems, it was
11		concluded that Hydro should proceed with option 2.